LibertyColumns.com Library

Volume VI (2014)

Daniel R. Quintiliani

LibertyColumns.com Library: Volume VI (2014) by Daniel R. Quintiliani Completed July 10, 2015

Dedicated to the public domain according to the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication on July 10, 2015

CONTENTS

- Preface / pg. 4
- What is OpenNIC and Why Should I Use It? / pg. 8
- Net Neutrality Don't Trust Washington To Regulate Anything Else! / pg. 10
- Internet Sales Tax: If Republicans Can Defy The Supreme Court, Why Not On Abortion? / pg. 12
- Why Conservatives Must Stand With #GamerGate / pg. 15
- Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication / pg. 17

PREFACE

From 2009 through late October 2012, I ran a blog known as the X-Cop Fly Company (www.xcopfly.com) which varied in its nature over the years. I was never able to decide what I wanted it to be – the site had been a personal journal, political commentary, personal photos, and humorous videos at various times.

However, over time people were noticing my political columns, and some of my columns were reproduced at Brian Duffy's Repeal the 17th Amendment blog (http://repealthe17thamendment.blogspot.com), with myself being interviewed on several episodes of his podcast, and eventually my site was listed at Librabase (www.librabase.com), a blog aggregator with a rating system similar to Digg's.

It became apparent that I needed a separate blog devoted to conservative and libertarian political commentary. LibertyColumns.com (www.libertycolumns.com) was created in June 2012, with several columns from the X-Cop Fly Company re-posted there.

As with X-Cop Fly Company, I opted to waive all rights on most of the self-written material, choosing Creative Commons' CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication, while retaining the copyright on a few things (and, of course, avoiding violating others' copyrights)

One of the things I did with X-Cop Fly Company is publish a series of eBooks known as *The Fly Papers*. These eBooks, separated into volumes, contained all posts (usually 6 months' worth per volume) with copyrighted content stripped, and the eBooks are themselves waived under the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. This was done for several reasons, most notably the lack of public domain material in the face of popular copyleft and nocommercial-use licensing. Completely waiving the material would encourage historical preservation, increase financial opportunities for all who would make use of it, discourage anti-American multiculturalism, and discourage the restrictive nature of copyright, which is increasingly being viewed as a super-property right with infinitely-expanding terms.

In order to legally waive the rights on *The Fly Papers*, copyrighted content (such as quotes from news articles) was summarized in italicized brackets. However, as the X-Cop Fly Company began to include political commentary, the chapterless post-by-post nature made this material impossible to read.

After setting up LibertyColumns.com, I decided to make a similar *LibertyColumns.com Library* eBook series. As these are original columns rarely making use of material from other sources, the need to summarize linked articles is rare and, if needed, is done via simple footnotes.

Volume I of *LibertyColumns.com Library*, released in July 2012, contained all posts from 2009 through 2011. These were not "LibertyColumns.com posts" but rather X-Cop Fly Company posts which were copied over to LibertyColumns.com after LibertyColumns.com was created. By including them in *LibertyColumns.com Library*, the columns become easier to read (as per the formatting described above).

Volume II of *LibertyColumns.com Library*, released in September 2012, contained all posts from January through August 2012. The May 2012 column ended the copied-over X-Cop Fly Company posts, with the June through August columns originating from LibertyColumns.com.

Volume III of *LibertyColumns.com Library* contained all posts from September 2012 through the week of December 16, 2012. Volume III was the "Doomsday Edition" of *LibertyColumns.com Library*, as it covered the blog up to the week of December 21st, 2012. According to various predictions, both scientific and religious (some of which gave December 23rd), anything from a massive social or political change to all-out Armageddon was expected to happen on that date. The existence of Volume IV is proof that nothing unusual happened (excluding the ongoing destruction of America by Barack Obama, his Democratic allies, and our Occupy Wall Street Republicans in Congress - a destruction which has accelerated even more since Obama's re-election that November).

Volume IV of *LibertyColumns.com Library* contained all posts from December 30, 2012 through June 2013. For various reasons, including a surgery I had earlier this year, not every week had a column. However, there were plenty of columns released.

Volume V of *LibertyColumns.com Library* contained all posts from July 2013 through December 2013. It also included a post from my personal journal blog, danq.co, which featured an image I submitted to Fight For The Future's "Thank You Edward Snowden" campaign on August 6, 2013. (I submitted this image to various sites, but it was not posted to LibertyColumns.com.) On July 6, 2013, I announced on the site that LibertyColumns.com columns would no longer be published on a weekly basis, hence only nine columns (and the aforementioned image) are present in Volume V.

Volume VI, this volume, will be the final volume of *LibertyColumns.com Library*. The main reason for this is that it is much easier for the message of liberty to get out through microblogging and covertly through commenting on existing blog articles. I have since left the Tea Party Movement due to its abandonment of liberty in favor of such behaviors as swapping one personality cult of questionable eligibility (Obama) with another personality cult of questionable eligibility (Ted Cruz) during the presidential elections occurring next year. As the country has undergone a radical shift to the extreme left (as can be seen with such things as censorship of behaviors and imagery with even a slight possible connection to violence or discrimination, extreme gun control proposals, censorship of Muhammad cartoons, and the popularity of a universal basic income), I have become alienated from practical politics and have returned to the free market anarchism of my college years. I plan on shutting down LibertyColumns.com after publication of this volume and redirecting it to my personal blog.

Only five columns were published on LibertyColumns.com in 2014, most of which were related to government takeover of the Internet (which was being supported by most conservatives and even some libertarians). One of these columns, "Net Neutrality: Eight Quotes Proving Internet Regulation During Obama/Boehner Era Dangerous", is not included in this book for copyright reasons.

This book is dedicated to the public domain according to the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. A copy of this waiver (public domain) has been included at the end of this book. Note that this book makes frequent references to others' names and trademarks – these belong to their respective owners, who are not affiliated with this book or its author.

I hope this work proves itself enjoyable to many and will encourage further expansion of the public domain and a loosening of copyright laws and lessening of copyright terms around the world.

Daniel R. Quintiliani (owner, LibertyColumns.com)
July 10, 2015

What is OpenNIC and Why Should I Use It?

January 4, 2014

OpenNIC is a user-owned and user-run online non-profit democratic community providing DNS services freely to users world-wide. DNS, or the Domain Name Service, allows computers on a network to find where a website is hosted by simply searching the online digital phone book. This includes opennicproject.org, google.com, microsoft.com, apple.com, or any other website you want to find. These are called domains and are essentially how websites on the internet find and talk to each other.

OpenNIC provides facilities that allow any user around the world to find a whole new way of accessing the web, while retaining the ability the still access all those existing sites that you can right now. The OpenNIC difference though, is being free and providing internet freedom to its users.

The freedom to be able to access what you need, when you need it, without anyone or anything else to tell you that you can't. Freedom is about not being censored, or having parts of the internet hidden on you. Government intervention need not apply.

Freedom is also about being adaptable. Many aspects of the current web are over saturated and it is being more and more difficult to find a way to set yourself apart from the others and show people that you matter. With OpenNIC, we have even more ways to express yourself on the web, such as new ways of finding websites, with new extensions like .free, .geek and .parody. At time of writing, these new extensions are provided free of cost for anyone who wishes to create a new domain using them, on a first come first served basis.

Freedom to have a say in the direction of the web. Some companies would probably love to hold the internet to ransom to exercise control of it, not to mention oppressive governments. OpenNIC is run and managed by its users and all attempts would need to pass a majority vote by its members. How likely do you think OpenNIC would let a company or government come in and lead it in a direction that offered no benefits and placed its users at a disadvantage? For users who enjoy personal freedoms and privacy and having a say in the way things are run, not very.

Freedom to keep your privacy. As detailed on the OpenNIC website, all DNS servers provide information about which country they are (so you can find the nearest one to your for optimal performance) and how much logging is done, so you know whether someone can track your DNS requests and make up an online profile about your web surfing habits or not.

But how hard it is to get access to OpenNIC's facilities to implement them on your own network? Not very difficult at all, in fact, the project has provided several guides and tutorials showing step-by-step how to make the introduction of OpenNIC to your network as painless and hassle free as possible.

So make a visit to http://www.opennicproject.org today to find out more about this amazing project that embraces user freedoms, helps bring control of the internet back to its users, assists in fighting back against oppressive governments and companies and says "no thank you" to internet censorship attempts.

"What is OpenNIC and Why Should I Use It?" was written by Martin A. Coleman on January 3, 2013. Coleman has dedicated this article to the public domain.

Net Neutrality – Don't Trust Washington To Regulate Anything Else!

January 16, 2014

Since January 14, 2014, Demand Progress and other Internet activist groups have been sending emails and Tweets regarding a federal court decision. The court declared the FCC unable to regulate "net neutrality".

To summarize "net neutrality", I will quote my November 9, 2013 column "Are NSA Conspiracies A Conspiracy?"

Years ago, an issue called "net neutrality" was invented. This revolved around "discrimination" of inanimate Internet activities by ISPs, notably the slowing down of equipment-draining peer-to-peer traffic such as BitTorrent, which is usually (but not always) used for copyright infringement. Unless we passed these "net neutrality" laws, Internet service would become "tiered", where only the major sites would be accessible to most customers while all other Web sites would cost more. The FCC started to implement net neutrality, though the Supreme Court declared them unable to pass these regulations without a new Congressional law. The issue seems to have faded away.¹

According to Fight For The Future, the FCC was able to get around this case through loopholes, but now cannot – unless the Internet is changed from "information service" into "telecommunication service".

Remember the Bell System monopoly, the era of leased telephones, poor service, and "tiered" long-distance rates? When you fill out any of these petitions asking the FCC to switch ISPs into "private" utilities, you are trusting unelected bureaucrats to regulate Internet service providers in your interest.

In 2008, millions of Americans contracted "Obamamania", that is, being gullible enough to believe that a politician can stand up to corporate interests, regulate away your problems, and make your life easier. Obama proceeded with billion-dollar subsidies and regulatory nightmares only the world's most powerful corporations are exempt from. Bailouts, surveillance, Obamacare mandates, Common Core, and continuing the Second Gulf War until his Second Term Campaign began.

¹ The full column "Are NSA Conspiracies A Conspiracy?" is available in *LibertyColumns.com Library: Volume V* (2013, July through December), pg. 19

Now we have Demand Progress, Fight For The Future, and other pro-Internet groups encouraging signing of petitions, which would give even more regulatory power to the same Congress and President who are dedicated to removing every strain of freedom we can possibly have. Even worse, these organizations want to increase the regulatory power not of elected politicians, but unelected bureaucrats.

Remember the Digital TV switch? The reason for "converter boxes" was not only to decode the digital transmissions – all channels were moved to UHF and given new frequencies. The bill containing the mandate also included the creation of federally-run Internet service where the VHF channels used to be. Will the FCC rig the system so ISPs will be less "neutral" or otherwise appear crooked, unlike a censored, heavily-surveilled "free" and "public" Internet? The UN has long wanted to seize complete control over the Internet – will Russia, China, and Pakistan be the next "saviors"?

Corporations can at any time attempt to clean their image, while the US government deliberately ignores their dirt. Regarding lost business hours or antitrust concerns, it is much easier for smaller companies to bring charges against ISPs than to bring charges against the government, don't you think?

The Internet encourages impulsive behaviors, including signing petitions without reading and thinking about them. Conservatives and libertarians, *do not encourage the federal government to regulate anything else!* Use your social media *against* this power grab by unelected bureaucrats, *not for it!*

Internet Sales Tax: If Republicans Can Defy The Supreme Court, Why Not On Abortion?

March 10, 2014

The Internet Sales Tax proposal, backed by (often-rightfully) dying brick-and-mortar establishments and bloated state governments, will force any individual or business who sells a product online to collect sales taxes in any jurisdiction. There are 9,600 state and local systems of taxation which any individual who wishes to sell a product online will have to comprehend, and the consumers of online products will pay.

In 1992, the Supreme Court ruled in *Quill Corps v. North Dakota* that Internet sales taxes can only be levied if the seller has a physical presence in the same state as the buyer.

In defiance of the Supreme Court, both Democrats and Republicans are promoting the "Marketplace 'Fairness' Act", appealing to the "fairness" promoted by liberals and Occupy Wall Street protesters (along the lines of "fair trade" and "Fairness Doctrine"). Supporters of the Left's concept of "fairness" are also exploiting nostalgic feelings of "your favorite store", which are among the numerous anti-capitalist tactics the Hollywood Mafia has used to outlaw, attempt to outlaw, or regulate in their favor every new technology since the piano roll.

In the past, Congress sought constitutional amendments when the Supreme Court ruled something unconstitutional. This is why we have a Sixteenth Amendment – the Supreme Court ruled in 1895 that direct income tax was unconstitutional, and a change was required to add that ability to the federal government.

As if Washington's epidemic of selective enforcement of self-interpreted laws wasn't bad enough, never mind their blatant disregard for freedom in general, Congress is now, in the same vein as the Russian government's permitting perpetual terms for Putin, defying the Supreme Court and the Constitution.

But if Republicans are to defy the Supreme Court on a taxation issue, why not defy the Supreme Court on their sanctioned genocide of millions of unwanted American individuals, that is, abortion?

Abortion has killed over 53 million individuals, a death toll equivalent to at least eight Holocausts. Republicans have promised for decades to outlaw this domestic genocide, yet have only given conservatives a few breadcrumbs.

Why are Republicans allowing this? *Because Republican politicians are all pro-choice*. The medical establishment, which funds all politicians of both parties, supports abortion. Big Pharma gets more money for every individual they abort, in the same manner that technologically incompetent retailers make money with every new item they sell. And just like Occupy Wall Street's "Fairness" taxes, legalized abortion and its accompanying lie of "freedom of choice" enables Big Pharma to make more money.

Republican politicians are some of the most vocal promoters of abortion, and many fall victim to their tricks. Here are several of the tricks Republican politicians use to mislead Republican voters:

- Trade Agreements Are Only About Trade. Notice how Latin American countries have been legalizing abortion one-by-one in recent years? We have Bush II's CAFTA (Central American Free Trade Agreement), supported by Bush II's bicameral Republican Congress, to thank for this.
- "Repeal Roe v Wade" (or, the Paul Family Con). Here we have people like Ron & Rand Paul who claim to be pro-life, but want abortion to be a "states' rights issue". Like slavery, states would decide who qualifies as a full, partial, or non-person, and like Jim Crow, who would be separate and who would be equal.
- **Establishment Platform Plank Scam.** Popular among Mitt Romney, Chris Christie, and others in the Republican elite who have the final say in the Republican platform. Here legalized abortion is encouraged in cases of rape or incest. In other words, legalizing the killing of an individual when the individual's birth and/or any associated consequences are unwanted the pro-choice justification for legalizing *all* abortions.
- Catholic District Doublespeak. "I'm pro-life but the woman should decide", "I will vote pro-life regardless of my personal views", etc. This garbage has long been popular among Democrats, and now Republicans are starting to pull this too.

• **Hidden Gas Chambers.** This involves legislation to make abortion more "humane", as how the electric chair was replaced by the gas chambers. Examples include banning only partial-birth abortions and pumping in anesthesia before the abortion.

America is on a dangerous path, and if Congress and the President are allowed to defy the Supreme Court by fiat, America will become a nightmare. If our anti-new-company, anti-individual-freedom government will be using Occupy Wall Street "fairness" tactics to make the American economy less free, tomorrow it can be Putin-style infinite terms and Chinese-style amputation of rebellious workers' arms.

And if it must be this way, we must demand that Republican politicians outlaw abortion, or they will be exposed as pro-choice liars, which intelligent Republican voters know they already are.

Why Conservatives Must Stand With #GamerGate

October 28, 2014

A fight has been occurring for months now, by concerned individuals, in an attempt to expose bias, dishonesty, collusion, conflict of interest, and other forms of corrupt and unethical behavior in the corporate media, and are being accused of "hate speech" and "intolerance" as they fight for their culture.

No, I am not referring to conservatives. Few of these individuals are conservatives.

They are gamers, who are now facing their culture under attack by the liberal mainstream media, and are being censored on blogs and discussion groups.

One of the first attempts at exposing corruption involved a woman named Zoe Quinn. A positive review for her game came from an individual named Nathan Grayson, who was in a casual sexual relationship with her.

This makes any effort to attack bias in Web 2.0 blogs, many of which have since been sold to Mainstream Media 1.0 companies such as Conde Nast, an effort to support "hate speech" in the "war on women".

Gamers are facing censorship on a number of their favorite sites, including 4chan and Conde-Nastowned Reddit (of which Zoe Quinn is an administrator of many groups). On Reddit, where moderators have been threatened with false child pornography complaints if they don't follow orders,² only a few designated message boards are allowed to post pro-GamerGate messages. Are we headed to a world of Liberal-Only Lunch Counters? Recall NY Governor Andrew Cuomo's comments [about how extreme conservatives are not welcome in his state].

There have been threats and harassment involved, much of which has been motivated by sexism. However, there are evil people everywhere, and to blame this on thousands of people opposed to media bias is far worse.

From an interview with "XavierMendel", former moderator of Reddit's /r/games, published to various outlets on September 7, 2014. "XavierMendel" was about to release a browser addon enabling encrypted communication on Reddit, but Reddit threatened to associate the former moderator with trafficking in child pornography.

As conservatives, we have an obligation to support GamerGate, including the liberals in the movement. Conservatives saw multiculturalism destroy the American melting pot, resulting in most of the country lacking any form of cultural identity. Multiculturalism has robbed Americans of their very being, turning us all into mechanical robots, and multiculturalism is no doubt partly responsible for the rise in depression, suicide rates, and drug abuse. We cannot let this happen to anyone else.

Regardless of gamers' liberalism and the violence of a few, conservatives need to stand with GamerGate, and help them win *their* culture war, knowing firsthand the consequences of losing.

Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication

CREATIVE COMMONS CORPORATION IS NOT A LAW FIRM AND DOES NOT PROVIDE LEGAL SERVICES. DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT DOES NOT CREATE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP. CREATIVE COMMONS PROVIDES THIS INFORMATION ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CREATIVE COMMONS MAKES NO WARRANTIES REGARDING THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION OR WORKS PROVIDED HEREUNDER, AND DISCLAIMS LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION OR WORKS PROVIDED HEREUNDER.

Statement of Purpose

The laws of most jurisdictions throughout the world automatically confer exclusive Copyright and Related Rights (defined below) upon the creator and subsequent owner(s) (each and all, an "owner") of an original work of authorship and/or a database (each, a "Work").

Certain owners wish to permanently relinquish those rights to a Work for the purpose of contributing to a commons of creative, cultural and scientific works ("Commons") that the public can reliably and without fear of later claims of infringement build upon, modify, incorporate in other works, reuse and redistribute as freely as possible in any form whatsoever and for any purposes, including without limitation commercial purposes. These owners may contribute to the Commons to promote the ideal of a free culture and the further production of creative, cultural and scientific works, or to gain reputation or greater distribution for their Work in part through the use and efforts of others.

For these and/or other purposes and motivations, and without any expectation of additional consideration or compensation, the person associating CC0 with a Work (the "Affirmer"), to the extent that he or she is an owner of Copyright and Related Rights in the Work, voluntarily elects to apply CC0 to the Work and publicly distribute the Work under its terms, with knowledge of his or her Copyright and Related Rights in the Work and the meaning and intended legal effect of CC0 on those rights.

- 1. Copyright and Related Rights. A Work made available under CC0 may be protected by copyright and related or neighboring rights ("Copyright and Related Rights"). Copyright and Related Rights include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - i. the right to reproduce, adapt, distribute, perform, display, communicate, and translate a Work;
 - ii. moral rights retained by the original author(s) and/or performer(s);
 - iii. publicity and privacy rights pertaining to a person's image or likeness depicted in a Work;
 - iv. rights protecting against unfair competition in regards to a Work, subject to the limitations in paragraph 4(a), below;
 - v. rights protecting the extraction, dissemination, use and reuse of data in a Work;
 - vi. database rights (such as those arising under Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the legal protection of databases, and under any national implementation thereof, including any amended or successor version of such directive); and

- vii. other similar, equivalent or corresponding rights throughout the world based on applicable law or treaty, and any national implementations thereof.
- **2. Waiver.** To the greatest extent permitted by, but not in contravention of, applicable law, Affirmer hereby overtly, fully, permanently, irrevocably and unconditionally waives, abandons, and surrenders all of Affirmer's Copyright and Related Rights and associated claims and causes of action, whether now known or unknown (including existing as well as future claims and causes of action), in the Work (i) in all territories worldwide, (ii) for the maximum duration provided by applicable law or treaty (including future time extensions), (iii) in any current or future medium and for any number of copies, and (iv) for any purpose whatsoever, including without limitation commercial, advertising or promotional purposes (the "Waiver"). Affirmer makes the Waiver for the benefit of each member of the public at large and to the detriment of Affirmer's heirs and successors, fully intending that such Waiver shall not be subject to revocation, rescission, cancellation, termination, or any other legal or equitable action to disrupt the quiet enjoyment of the Work by the public as contemplated by Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose.
- 3. Public License Fallback. Should any part of the Waiver for any reason be judged legally invalid or ineffective under applicable law, then the Waiver shall be preserved to the maximum extent permitted taking into account Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose. In addition, to the extent the Waiver is so judged Affirmer hereby grants to each affected person a royalty-free, non transferable, non sublicensable, non exclusive, irrevocable and unconditional license to exercise Affirmer's Copyright and Related Rights in the Work (i) in all territories worldwide, (ii) for the maximum duration provided by applicable law or treaty (including future time extensions), (iii) in any current or future medium and for any number of copies, and (iv) for any purpose whatsoever, including without limitation commercial, advertising or promotional purposes (the "License"). The License shall be deemed effective as of the date CC0 was applied by Affirmer to the Work. Should any part of the License for any reason be judged legally invalid or ineffective under applicable law, such partial invalidity or ineffectiveness shall not invalidate the remainder of the License, and in such case Affirmer hereby affirms that he or she will not (i) exercise any of his or her remaining Copyright and Related Rights in the Work or (ii) assert any associated claims and causes of action with respect to the Work, in either case contrary to Affirmer's express Statement of Purpose.

4. Limitations and Disclaimers.

- a) No trademark or patent rights held by Affirmer are waived, abandoned, surrendered, licensed or otherwise affected by this document.
- b) Affirmer offers the Work as-is and makes no representations or warranties of any kind concerning the Work, express, implied, statutory or otherwise, including without limitation warranties of title, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, non infringement, or the absence of latent or other defects, accuracy, or the present or absence of errors, whether or not discoverable, all to the greatest extent permissible under applicable law.
- c) Affirmer disclaims responsibility for clearing rights of other persons that may apply to the Work or any use thereof, including without limitation any person's Copyright and Related Rights in the Work. Further, Affirmer disclaims responsibility for obtaining any necessary consents, permissions or other rights required for any use of the Work.
- d) Affirmer understands and acknowledges that Creative Commons is not a party to this document and has no duty or obligation with respect to this CC0 or use of the Work.